

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,860	07/09/2003	Yasuo Inoue	29284/597	8147	
7590 02/09/2005			EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			CHEN, ALAN S		
Suite 700			ADTIBUT	DARED MILITER	
1500 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	`	1 4 44 11 11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
Office Action Summary		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)				
		10/614,860		INOUE, YASUO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alan S Chen		2182				
<i>The M.</i> Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communication I	n appears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tile after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of the may be available under the provisions of 37 C DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, reply is specified above, the maximum statutory within the set or extended period for reply will, by yed by the Office later than three months after the erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, he con. , a reply within the statutory of ceriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from t n to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on	28 December 2004.						
2a)⊠ This ad	ction is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-f	inal.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	Claims							
4a) Of 1 5)⊠ Claim(6)□ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are with s) 1-14 is/are allowed. s) is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to.		eration.					
	s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requi	rement.					
Application Pap					•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
• • •	nt may not request that any objection t ement drawing sheet(s) including the c		-		ER 1 121(d)			
•	th or declaration is objected to by the	•						
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Draft 3) Information Di	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S lail Date	18)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED FINAL ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 10/614/860, with respect to claims 1-14 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-14 has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of application #s: 10/614859, 10/614861, 10/614862, 10/614863, 10/614864. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applicant recites limitations that are based on the general premise of the instant application that each functional unit requires a minimum number of paths required to connect to associated functional units, e.g., the number of paths is equivalent to the number of associated functional units needed to be connected to.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,860 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 07/09/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

U.S. Patent Documents have been considered, but Foreign Patent Documents and Other Documents have not.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-14 are allowed.

The following is the statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art disclosed by the applicant and cited by the Examiner fail to teach or suggest, alone or in combination, a storage system that comprises a plurality of channel units communicatively coupled to an upper-level system, a cache unit communicatively coupled to the plurality of channel united, a control unit communicatively coupled to the cache unit, a disk device communicatively coupled to the control unit, wherein the number of paths linking said channel unit to said cache unit equals the number of plurality of channel units, i.e., there is no multiplexing between the channel units to store data on the cache unit, but instead each channel unit has a dedicated path to the cache unit and the necessary logic in the cache unit in order enable these independent paths to store data on the cache.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,860 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan S Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,860

Art Unit: 2182

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ASC 2/3/2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100